

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
10/750,373	12/31/2003	Jonathan Westphal	80001	4894	•
27975 75	590 03/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE			BOUTSIKARIS, LEONIDAS		
P.O. BOX 3791		ORDINGE AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1
ORLANDO, F	FL 32802-3791		2872		•

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/750,373	WESTPHAL, JON	ATHAN ((Ch		
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Leo Boutsikaris	2872				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic		heet with the correspondence ad	dress			
Period for	• •						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commune period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply wire reply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however incation. days, a reply within the statutory minimum tory period will apply and will expire SIX II. by statute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>30 December 2004</u> .					
2a)□	•	n)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>3 and 5-13</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) <u>5-13</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from considerati					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 May 2004</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to the specific results of the specific re	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ion to the drawing(s) be held in he correction is required if the c	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Irawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation. See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been receivencements have been receivents the priority documents have all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National)).	Stage			
2) Notion Notion Notion	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P	0-948) P€ TO/SB/08) 5) □ No	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date blice of Informal Patent Application (PTC her:)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/750,373

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fellows (US 5,150,242) in view of DiJaili (US 6,853,658).

Fellows discloses an integrated optical OR device comprising electrical control inputs 5, 6, and 7, a reference optical signal 8 and an optical output Y at the face 16 which corresponds to the logical OR function of the inputs 5, 6, 7 (Fig. 1, line 35, col. 5 to line 15, col. 6). However, Fellows does not disclose an optical device that performs a logical NOR function of the electrical control inputs. DiJaili discloses a series of optical logic circuits, based on semiconductor amplifiers, wherein he teaches that an optical NOT gate can be used to invert a received optical signal (Figs. 3A-3B, lines 23-43, col. 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the NOT logic gate of DiJaili in series with the logic OR gate of Fellows, for producing an optical signal that corresponds to the logic NOR function of the electrical inputs of the Fellows device, since logic NOR gates are important building blocks in digital circuits (see lines 32-40, col. 1 in Dijaili).

Application/Control Number: 10/750,373

Art Unit: 2872

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

It is noted that output 7 in the Truth Table of col. 25-26 does not represent an output of the arrangement depicted in Fig. 21.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-13 are allowed.

Claims 5-13 are allowable over the prior art of record for at least the reason that even though the prior art discloses optical NOR gates, the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest an optical element comprising at least one combination of optical elements producing respective XOR and COIN function outputs of the two control inputs which function outputs are used in producing a logical NOR function of the two control inputs, as set forth by the claimed combination.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Leo Boutsikaris whose telephone number is 571-272-2308.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/750,373

Art Unit: 2872

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leo Boutsikaris, Ph.D.
Primary Patent Examiner, AU 2872
March 18, 2005

LEONIDAS BOUTSIKARIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER